

THE JOURNAL OF CENTRAL ASIAN STUDIES

Volume: 31 2024 ISSN: 0975-086X



Centre of Central Asian Studies
University of Kashmir
Srinagar, J&K, India

THE JOURNAL OF CENTRAL ASIAN STUDIES

Volume: 31 2024 ISSN: 0975-086X

Chief Editor

Prof. Tabasum Firdous

Editors

Dr. Ajmal Shah

Dr. Abid Gulzar

Associate Editors

Prof. Tareak A. Rather

Prof. Wahid Nasaru

Dr. Mumtaz A. Yattoo



Centre of Central Asian Studies
University of Kashmir
Srinagar, J&K, India

© Publisher: Centre of Central Asian Studies, University of Kashmir

No part of this Journal may be reprinted in original or translated without the explicit permission of the Publisher.

The views expressed in this volume of the Journal are opinions of contributors and can not be associated with the Publisher or Editorial Board.

The Journal is peer reviewed, refereed and indexed in EBSCOhost and ProQuest. The Journal is also available online through EBSCOhost Database: Political Science Complete on web.ebscohost.com; and on the University of Kashmir web page <http://ccas.uok.edu.in>.

Scope of the Journal: The Journal welcomes original papers on all aspects of Central Asia, preferably, contemporary problems and emerging regional issues.



Price: Rs. 1000 / \$ 25

Design
Discover Srinagar
8716 892 892

Published by
Centre of Central Asian Studies
University of Kashmir
2024-25

Contents

Turkmenistan's Pragmatic Diplomacy: Navigating Engagements with the Taliban-Led Afghanistan Since 2021

Abdul Wasi Popalzay

1-23

Geopolitical Chessboard of Central Asia

Saurabh Dalal

24-33

Poetic Discourse of Naqeeb Khan Taghral Ahrari in the Literary Legacy of 19th Century Central Asia

Prof. G.N. Khaki

34-46

State-Building and Nation Formation in Post-Soviet Kazakhstan: Independence, Institutional Development, and Societal Transformation

Inderjeet Inderjeet

47-62

Understanding the Status of Capitalism in Central Asia

Dr. Raj Yadav

63-78

Iran and Central Asia: Navigating Strategic Partnerships and Regional Challenges

Dr. Altaf ul Rehman

Dr. Hamid Rasool

79-95

Convergence and Divergence of Growth in Commonwealth of Independent States Countries

Prof. R V Ramana Murthy

Mohammad Orfan Abdullah

96-112

Multiculturalism and Inter-Ethnic Relation in Kazakhstan

Dr. Amit Kumar

113-125

Fostering Sustainable Economic Growth in Tajikistan through Entrepreneurship: Policy Implications and Future Directions

Dr Chittaranjan Senapati

126-142

India's Strategic Outreach to Central Asian Republics under the Narendra Modi Government

Imran Khurshid

143-162

HUVIṢKA'S GAMBIT: On the Ganesho-type Coin and Kuṣāṇa Cultural-Numismatic Complexities

Arindam Chaturvedi

163-186

The Centuries old Legacy of Kakaz Manufacturing in Srinagar, Kashmir

Sanah Khan

Dr. Mohammad Ajmal Shah

Dr. Mumtaz Yattoo

187-197

Understanding the Status of Capitalism in Central Asia

*Dr. Raj Yadav**

Abstract

This study is descriptive in nature and tries to understand the status of capitalism in Central Asian states, once a part of the Soviet Union and were functioning under the preview of Soviet economy system that was largely planned or command economy system, but post-independence, these states adopted the market reforms introducing liberal approach towards economic system including liberalization, privatization and macro-economic stabilization. Capitalism is one of the liberal economic systems that is not a contemporary phenomenon, it's been there in the civilizations because of the philosophy i.e., "self-interest". But capitalism as a system persists in various shades, "social capitalism, welfare capitalism, corporate capitalism, state capitalism, crony capitalism, monopoly capitalism, finance capitalism, resource driven capitalism". So, this study delves into the status of capitalism in Central Asian states and tries to discuss their importance during Soviet Union, then economic reforms particularly capitalism in their economies and to discuss the various shades in which capitalism exists in since last thirty-five years.

Keywords: *Capitalism, Central Asian States, Soviet Economy, Market Reforms, Economic Liberalization*

Introduction

"Capitalism: Treating Migrants Like Caged Animals" (Patnaik, 2025). "A hedge fund owner founded Deep-Seek, showcasing the potential of capitalism" (Ganguli, 2025). "Hansal Mehta says Elon Musk has made US President Donald Trump a 'puppet of capitalism': Democracy be damned" (Rawal, 2025). "Kazakhstan Faces a Hopeless Social Crisis" (Mazorenko, 2021). "Chinese Business in Central Asia: How Crony Capitalism is Eroding Justice" (Ozcan, 2021). "Did Tajikistan Manage to Build a Market Economy?" (Timurov, 2021).

Headlines stated above from news snippets has one aspect in common that is the *CAPITALISM* showcasing its few yet different facets worldwide including Central Asia. One can easily categorize Capitalism as one of kindof a phenomenon that is perceived as modern or ongoing but the fact is that it has been a part of human civilization in various forms since ancient times and has evolved

*Assistant Professor, Centre for Russian and Central Asian Studies, School of International Studies, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

since then and will continue to do so even in future. Hence, considering a state thriving without capitalism is a complete rejection. Central Asian states are no exception, these states are very much a part of globalization and world economic fraternity. These are five states Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan that shares not only borders with Russia, China, Iran, Afghanistan, and Pakistan but also shares historical, cultural, economic relations since centuries. These states got independence in 1991 and since then, states had completed nearly more than three decades as under the mixed economy system and encompassing capitalism in various degrees.

Central Asia is a region which is historically known for its strategic trade routes and rich natural resources, has undergone significant economic transformations over the centuries. From the flourishing Silk Road trade to the centrally planned economies of the Soviet era and the market-driven reforms that followed independence, the region's journey toward capitalism has been shaped by a complex interplay of historical legacies, geopolitical influences, and economic imperatives. The post-Soviet Central Asian republics of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan followed different trajectories toward economic modernization, facing income inequality, political instability, and dependence on resource exports. Historically, the region was a hub of international trade, connecting the East and West via the Silk Road and allowing the interchange of goods, ideas, and societies (Frankopan, 2015). The integration of Central Asia into the Russian Empire in the 19th century, and its later incorporation into the Soviet Union, induced profound economic transformations, as the focus of the region's economy transitioned from traditional trade to state-controlled industrialisation and resource extraction (Kalinovsky, 2018). With the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991, they found themselves at a crossroads, struggling to transform from centrally planned economies to market-based ones—a difficult, uneven process. Kazakhstan, the largest and most resource-rich economy in the region, has forsworn a model of state-led capitalism, threading a path where it uses its vast oil and gas reserves to attract foreign investment, while incrementally applied market reforms (Pomfret 2006). Meanwhile, Kyrgyzstan opted for fast liberalization, being the first Central Asian state to launch its own currency in 1993 and adopt wide-ranging privatization reforms (Pomfret, 2010). Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan, on the other hand, retained more command economy-like models, with state control of significant industries. Today the region is also changing, the ongoing global economic and new geopolitical realities influencing it even more than those days of old. The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) of China has been at the centre of transforming Central Asia's infrastructure

and trade networks, by heavily investing in transport and energy projects (Olimat, 2015). Russia, while under economic sanctions and geopolitical pressure, is still an important player with projects like the Eurasian Economic Union (Financial Times, 2024). Furthermore, Western states (e.g., Germany, European Union) are also coming into competition with the traditional actors by exploring increasing economic engagements in Central Asia (e.g., renewable energy, critical minerals, Reuters, 2024). Although growth in recent years has been strong, with the region experiencing a 6.3% expansion in 2023, aided by robust domestic demand and foreign investment (HKTDC Research, 2023), long-term challenges remain. Central Asian countries rely on resource-based economies, which are sensitive to changes in international raw material prices, a feature labelled as “rentier capitalism” (Anceschi & Oliphant, 2023). Moreover, constant challenges including corruption, bad infrastructure as well as political instability still obstruct sustainable development. In fact, the challenges ahead will be economic diversification, regional cooperation and reforms in governance to ensure long-term stability and progress in the economic realm. Kazakhstan and other countries are already working to limit their reliance on fossil fuels by pouring money into critical minerals such as lithium and cobalt that power the world’s shift to green energy (Reuters, 2024). Additionally, intra-regional commerce is growing substantially, and countries are cooperating with each other to improve connectivity and economic integration (Astana Times, 2024). As Central Asia navigates this complex economic landscape, balancing internal development with external influences will be crucial. By leveraging its strategic location, abundant resources, and growing economic potential, the region has the opportunity to emerge as a key player in the global economy. However, achieving this goal requires well-planned policies, institutional reforms, and a commitment to fostering innovation and economic resilience. The future of capitalism in Central Asia will depend on how effectively these nations can manage their economic transitions while mitigating the risks associated with external dependencies and internal disparities. As such, understanding the historical evolution and current dynamics of capitalism in Central Asia is essential for assessing the region’s economic trajectory and its broader implications for global economic and geopolitical trends (RAND Corporation, 2023).

Theoretical and Conceptual Framework of ‘Capitalism’

Capitalism is an economic system in which private individuals and corporations own and control the means of production, distribution, and exchange of goods and services. It operates on principles of competition, free markets, and

profit maximization. Over time, capitalism has evolved and taken various forms, shaping societies and economies worldwide. While it has driven economic growth and innovation, it has also been subject to critiques regarding inequality, exploitation, and market failures. This theoretical framework examines capitalism through classical economic theories, Marxist critiques, institutional economics, neo liberalism, and globalization, offering a structured understanding of its evolution and impact. Classical economics, founded by Adam Smith, David Ricardo, and John Stuart Mill, laid the foundation for modern capitalism. Adam Smith, in *The Wealth of Nations* (1776), introduced the concept of the “invisible hand,” suggesting that self-interest and competition drive economic prosperity and efficiency. Smith argued that when individuals pursue their interests, markets self-regulate, leading to overall societal benefits (Smith, 1776). Ricardo (1817) expanded upon these ideas through the theory of comparative advantage, which supports free trade by emphasizing specialization in goods with lower opportunity costs. Mill (1848) contributed by acknowledging market imperfections and advocating for limited government intervention in cases of social injustice and economic imbalance. Karl Marx’s critique of capitalism, primarily outlined in *Das Kapital* (1867), remains one of the most influential alternative perspectives. Marx argued that capitalism is inherently exploitative, as it profits from the surplus value generated by labor. He believed that the bourgeoisie (owners of capital) enriched themselves by underpaying the proletariat (working class), leading to economic inequality and class struggles. Marx predicted that capitalism would eventually collapse due to internal contradictions, including crises of overproduction, wage suppression, and the concentration of wealth in fewer hands (Marx, 1867). His theories continue to influence discussions on wealth disparity, workers’ rights, and socialist alternatives.

Institutional economics, developed by scholars like Thorstein Veblen and John Kenneth Galbraith, examines capitalism through the lens of social and economic institutions. Veblen (1899) introduced the idea of “conspicuous consumption,” arguing that capitalism encourages wasteful spending to display social status rather than fulfill practical needs. Galbraith (1958) critiqued the concentration of corporate power, showing how large firms manipulate consumer behavior and markets through advertising, monopolistic practices, and policy influence. This school of thought suggests that capitalism is shaped by social norms, corporate structures, and government policies, requiring regulatory measures to curb excesses and ensure economic fairness. Neoliberalism emerged in the late 20th century as a dominant form of capitalism, emphasizing deregulation, privatization, and reduced government intervention. Friedrich Hayek

(1944) argued that state control over markets leads to inefficiencies and potential authoritarianism, advocating for free-market policies. Milton Friedman (1962) further reinforced this ideology, promoting tax cuts, minimal regulation, and market-driven economic policies. While neoliberal policies have contributed to global economic growth, critics like David Harvey (2005) argue that they have exacerbated wealth inequality, led to financial instability, and weakened social protections. The neoliberal model remains highly debated in policy and academic circles. Gras, a Canadian professor on business has worked on capitalism. The Evolution of Modern Capitalism, the liberal socialist John Atkinson Hobson wrote that “it was indeed the merchant and not the manufacturer who represented the most advanced form of capitalism in the eighteenth century” and elsewhere called that form “merchant capitalism.” (Fredona, 2024) Henri Pirenne’s famous 1913 lecture on the stages of capitalism’s development was formulated in explicit reaction to Sombart¹, and its argument—“All the essential features of capitalism—individual enterprise, advances on credit, commercial profit, speculation, etc.—are to be found from the twelfth century on, in the city republics of Italy—Venice, Genoa, or Florence”—was, though not without some refinement and contestation, accepted and developed by generations of economic historians of the pre-industrial economy of Europe and the Mediterranean. The language used in 1942 by Raymond de Roover in first describing a thirteenth-century “commercial revolution” with that expression, which was formulated as a reply to Gras, unmistakably reveals the context of thinking in terms of successive, stadial forms of capitalism: “The commercial revolution marks the beginning of mercantile or commercial capitalism, while the industrial revolution marks the end of it.” Not content with the received stages, Gras had hammered out his own scheme in his early work as an economic historian of the medieval English grain market, a scheme expressed most clearly in his 1922 Introduction to Economic History, dedicated to Gay, with its “five fundamental stages of economic development,” the collectional economy², cultural nomadic economy, settled village economy,

¹ Sombart’s view on capitalism was complex and multifaceted. He saw capitalism as both a cultural and economic system, shaped by historical and social forces, and he believed that it had a transformative impact on society, though he was critical of its social consequences. His work remains an important contribution to the sociology of capitalism, though some of his ideas, particularly on the role of Jews, have been heavily criticized.

² A “collectional economy” is not a widely recognized term in mainstream economic theory, but it seems to refer to a concept where economic activity revolves around the collection, accumulation, or control of specific items, assets, or resources. This could refer to various systems or practices where the act of collecting or gathering is central to economic behavior. Collecting as Economic Activity: This interpretation could be related to markets or economies where collecting is central, such as the collection of art, antiques, rare items, or collectibles (coins, stamps, etc.). These

town economy, and metropolitan economy. The contemporary capitalist system is heavily influenced by globalization, which has facilitated international trade, investment, and economic integration. Economists like Joseph Stiglitz (2002) and Thomas Piketty (2014) analyze the consequences of globalization on income distribution and economic stability. Stiglitz (2002) critiques neoliberal globalization for prioritizing corporate profits over labor rights and environmental sustainability, leading to widening economic disparities. Piketty (2014) provides empirical evidence that capitalism, without redistributive policies, leads to growing income and wealth inequality. The increasing influence of multinational corporations and digital economies further complicates traditional capitalist models, raising questions about labor rights, economic sovereignty, and regulatory oversight. After going through conceptual and theoretical underpinnings, one can presume that “thinking about and with capitalism’s form is not restricted to particular groups of Marxists, historical economists, sociologists, and business historians; nor is it restricted to the important debates that shaped their scholarly fields and intellectual horizons from the mid-nineteenth century to the 1970s” (Fredona, 2024). Indeed capitalism, multiform from the start, continues— with astonishing energy—to attract new adjectival distinctions and newly-identified types. “In addition to some old standards (agrarian capitalism, late capitalism, state capitalism, financial capitalism, global capitalism, transnational capitalism, racial capitalism, managerial capitalism, casino capitalism, crony capitalism,

markets might operate on the premise that certain goods have value based on their rarity or the process of accumulation, rather than their intrinsic use value. In such economies, the value is often derived from scarcity, historical significance, or sentimental value. Resource Collection in Economic Systems: In some societies or economies, the collection of resources—such as hunting, fishing, farming, or gathering—is central. In more traditional or indigenous economies, much of the economic activity might center on the collection of food, materials, or goods from the environment. Economy of Accumulation (Wealth and Capital): This interpretation could suggest a system where economic value is largely derived from the accumulation of wealth, capital, or assets. This idea is close to Sombart’s view of capitalism, where wealth is accumulated over time through trade, production, and financial activities. In this context, a “collectional economy” would emphasize the accumulation of wealth (whether material goods, money, or assets) as the primary economic activity. Collective Economy (Common Pool Resources): In a more collaborative sense, a collectional economy could also refer to an economy built around collective resource management, where goods or resources are pooled together for communal use or benefit. In such an economy, people would “collect” resources together to manage them sustainably, such as in communal farming or shared water systems. Digital or NFT-Driven Economies: In the modern digital era, the concept of “collection” has extended to online economies, particularly around digital goods like non-fungible tokens (NFTs), virtual assets, and collectibles in video games. These kinds of economies are driven by the idea of collecting rare or unique digital items, which can have value in markets. While “collectional economy” might not be a formally defined term, it could be applied in different contexts to refer to systems where accumulation or collection plays a central role in economic activities.

consumer capitalism, colonial capitalism, climate capitalism, eco-capitalism, green capitalism, surveillance capitalism, ethical capitalism, war capitalism, welfare capitalism, and stakeholder capitalism), in the last few years alone books have been published with all of these more or less novel forms in their titles: collaborative capitalism, connected capitalism, grassroots capitalism, brand-name capitalism, knowledge capitalism, cognitive capitalism, Mediterranean capitalism, magical capitalism, gendered capitalism, sugar daddy capitalism, pension fund capitalism, violent capitalism, vulture capitalism, bingo capitalism, blood capitalism, feudal capitalism, booty capitalism, revenge capitalism, plastic capitalism, keystone capitalism, twilight capitalism, glitch capitalism, spiderweb capitalism, crack-up capitalism, chokepoint capitalism, cannibal capitalism, and woke capitalism” (Fredona, 2024).

Historical Evolution

The history of Central Asia’s capitalism is a long one, full of trade and empire building and punctuated by explosions of transformation after the Soviet Union broke apart. These five countries Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan, and Uzbekistan-are undergoing significant economic changes. It transitioned from trade-based economies, to a government-commanded economy, and eventually to market reforms. Central Asia has a rich trading history of its own, dating back centuries to the Silk Road that linked China to Europe. In addition to facilitating trade in commodities such as silk and spices, this trade route served to disseminate innovative ideas and cultures. As a result, cities such as Samarkand and Bukhara emerged as central hubs for business, leading to enhanced trade and economic advancement (Frankopan, 2015). The development of these markets resulted in profit for many to private traders, the first signs of capitalism in our monetary history.

And in the 19th century, when the Russian Empire took control of Central Asia, the region’s economy changed dramatically. Russia developed infrastructure projects such as the Trans-Caspian Railway to bring Central Asia closer to its markets. The introduction of much new farming methods in addition to the focus on the extraction of raw materials for the benefit of the Empire (Kalinovsky, 2018). However, these changes did not deprive Central Asia (by this time, sometimes known as Transoxiana) of its role as a link between east and west, as there were several trade networks which remained traditional. During the Soviet era, when Central Asian countries became part of a centrally planned economic system, a sea change took place. Feeling threatened, the Soviet state intervened through industrialization and collective farming, initiating massive extraction

projects for its natural resources and the creation of heavy industries. Although this period included advancements in infrastructure and education, it also made the region dependent on the Soviet economy and limited free-market activities (Pomfret, 2006). Before independence, these states were part of the Soviet Union for nearly seven decades under the Soviet economy system that was centralized, command and planned. During Soviet dominion these Central Asian states geographical characteristics and proximity facilitated in economic growth of Soviet Union through Agricultural production “Breadbasket”, “Cotton Production” and “Live Stock and Silk”. Besides primary sector, secondary sector consisting of natural resources related to hydrocarbons “Oil and Gas”, minerals and metals namely coal, copper, zinc, gold, uranium and hydroelectric power (especially in Tajikistan and Kyrgyzstan) proved significant in industrialization, particularly in manufacturing and heavy industries in the Soviet economy.

In 1991, when the Soviet Union dissolved, Central Asian nations were left struggling to transition from command economies to market economies. They had to create their own countries and implement economic changes. Political and financial complications never reined in Kazakhstan’s momentum, as it made strong strides toward a market economy (World Bank, 1993). The first introduction of an independent currency in the region was done by Kyrgyzstan in May 1993 when *som* was issued, which was one of the steps taken to gain economic independence in the post-Soviet environment (Pomfret, 2010).”Rentier capitalism” is one of the defining economic models in the region today. That’s particularly true in resource-rich countries like Kazakhstan and Turkmenistan, which rely almost exclusively on oil, gas and minerals. The assistance of foreign powers like the United States, Russia, and China is significant in advancing these industries (Anceschi & Oliphant, 2023). And while this strategy has increased economic growth, it has also produced difficulties such as income inequality and excessive dependence on international markets. Resource poor countries such as Kyrgyzstan have pursued a different approach. It promptly implemented market reforms and a currency in 1993 to achieve economic independence (Pomfret, 2010). The country, however, has faced challenges in attracting long-term foreign investment and ensuring its financial stability. In this way, the economic history of Central Asia is that of a combination of ancient patterns of trade, foreign power interventions, and the struggle to be part of a global economy.

The Evolution of Capitalism in Central Asia: Growth, Challenges, and Geopolitical Shifts

In Central Asia, the move towards capitalism opened new opportunities for some, but also resulted in increasing inequality. While shifting to a market-based economy, stark inequalities in wealth grew and poverty remained a challenge, especially in the poorer countries in the region (World Bank, 2006). This illustrates the difficulties of reconciling economic liberalization with social equity. Central Asian economies have been navigating globalization in recent years while balancing relationships with great powers of Russia, China, and the West. The region's infrastructure and energy sectors have been heavily influenced by China through its Belt and Road Initiative (Olimat, 2015). Both emerge from longstanding ties but the EU aims for balanced, mutually beneficial trading relationships whereas Russia's powers to avoid sanctions end up harming its ability to expand under the Eurasian Economic Union (FT 2024).

Yet Central Asian economies have weathered these geopolitical complexities. In 2023, the region grew by 6.3%, bolstered primarily by robust domestic demand alongside increasing consumer activity and robust investment across other sectors (HKTDC Research, 2023). Kazakhstan, the region's largest economy, is trying to diversify away from oil and gas and is investing in critical minerals such as lithium, cobalt and nickel needed for electric vehicle batteries (Reuters, 2024). In a similar vein, both the Kyrgyz Republic's investments in mining, transportation and energy have surged (reaching 59.3% between January and August 2023) and its GDP has experienced 8.4% growth (Astana Times, 2024). This economic energy is part of wider bids to support foreign investment and create regional collaboration. In addition, Central Asian countries have been holding annual summits since 2018 to strengthen their institutional ties and establish a unified approach to economic relations. There are various countries attempting to reduce reliance on traditional partners by establishing trade relations with China, Turkey, and the European Union (East Asia Forum, 2023). China's investments stand out, with initiatives such as the modernization of Tajikistan's Pamir Highway enhancing regional connectivity (The Times, 2024). At the same time, Russia's centuries-long dominance in the region is being challenged. A leaked government report indicates Russian officials are increasingly worried that Western pressure will limit Moscow's economic footprint in the region. Consequently, Central Asian countries are capitalizing on this altered balance of power to adopt alterations to their economic strategy with greater independence, attempting to grapple with Western regulatory benchmarks in those areas in which it serves their interest (Financial Times, 2024). While this has been good for a number of economic factors, the region does have some economic challenges. As a result, growth is forecast to ease to 3.3% in 2024 and 2.6% in 2025 on

uncertainties regarding the global economy, falling oil prices, and long-lasting impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic (World Bank 2024). But inflation is a concern and caution in monetary policy remains. In response to lower inflation, several central banks have eased policy rates but preventing further economic instability will likely remain among the highest level priorities (World Bank, 2024). A number of Central Asian economies continue to be heavily reliant on the extraction and export of natural resources, a system that is sometimes labeled “rentier capitalism.” Although this strategy has been successful in growing the economies, it also exposes them to movements in international commodity prices (Anceschi & Oliphant, 2023).

In an effort to develop more robust and diversified economies, Central Asian states are investing in renewable energy, expanding manufacturing capacity and enhancing regional connectivity. These initiatives help lessen reliance on natural resource exports while paving the way for a more sustainable economic future. However, balancing internal development with external influences will be crucial for the long-term growth and stability of these nations as they continue to forge their market-oriented economies (RAND Corporation, 2023).

Capitalism in Central Asia in coming times: Opportunities and Challenges

Economic diversification, regional cooperation, and geopolitical shifts will shape the future of capitalism in Central Asia. With globalization now taking on higher meaning, these countries are concentrating on exploiting natural resources, reaching post-trade alliances, and dealing with great power relations. A major focus has been on diversifying economies so that countries in the region can reduce dependence on legacy commodities — a pillar of our focus on economic growth. Kazakhstan, for example, is using its large supply of critical minerals required for electric vehicle batteries. The country has granted exploration licenses in a bid to attract investment and become a new force in the battery supply chain (Reuters, 2024). Not only does this step strengthen economic stability, but it also align with broader global initiatives aimed at moving away from traditional energy sources toward cleaner ones. Moreover, investments in green technologies could lead to substantial job growth. According to the World Economic Forum’s Future of Jobs Report 2023, initiatives promoting environmental sustainability will lead to job creation across multiple sectors, underscoring the significance of sustainable development for the long-term enrichment of the economy (World Economic Forum, 2023).

This most obviously means that regional cooperation will be instrumental to Central Asia’s economic future. In the decade preceding 2024, regional trade

grew 2.5 times to almost \$ 11 billion (Astana Times, 2024). Its expansion indicates a greater dedication to strengthening economic relations and creating a more integrated regional market. Infrastructure development has been a major focus, with initiatives such as the reconstruction of Tajikistan's Pamir Highway, supported by Chinese investments, improving trade routes and connectivity (The Times, 2024). "This not only makes it easier to move goods, it also reflects a change in the balance of power in the region, with China's growing economic footprint."

Geopolitical factors will exert an influence on the region's economic strategies. Russia has traditionally wielded influence in Central Asia, but recent global shifts have pushed some countries to rethink their reliance on Moscow. A leaked Russian government report of a U.S. aided Kremlin dwindling economic influence in former Soviet republics. Consequently, the Central Asian states are looking for means to enhance their independence and establish diversified international partnerships (Financial Times, 2024). European countries have also become increasingly keen to deepen economic ties with the region. Germany, for instance, is pursuing energy cooperation with Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan, which are seeking new markets and energy sources after geopolitical shifts in the wake of the Ukraine conflict. Such prospective partnerships also encompass renewable energy projects, solidifying the importance of the region as a key to global energy security (Reuters, 2024).

Central Asia is projected to continue growing at a strong pace, but there remain challenges. Political instability, infrastructure shortfalls and the need for institutional reforms could temper economic progress. According to the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), the region expects robust economic growth with a GDP increase of 5.1% in 2024, accelerating to 5.9% in 2025 thanks to higher commodity revenues and infrastructure investments (EBRD, 2024). But for this potential to fully emerge, governments need to confront systemic inefficiencies and implement policies that enable sustainable development over the long term. The future of Central Asian capitalism will be shaped by a tightrope act between economic growth, regional cooperation, and strategic global ties. These nations have the chance to become a more prosperous and independent economic power by utilizing their natural resources and their geographical position.

The Path Forward for Capitalism in Central Asia

Advancing capitalism in Central Asian countries requires a comprehensive approach that focuses on structural reforms, regional cooperation, and strategic

international partnerships. It is critical for sustainable economic growth as well as competitiveness and resilience to the uncertainties of the global economy. Of course, one of the main priorities for the region is structural reforms that would also transition economies to move away from the over-reliance on natural resources. About governance, the International Monetary Fund (IMF) indicates that weighting the balance sheet or re-establishing state-owned firms can sweep up billions in economic output. Such reforms have the potential to boost the region's total output by almost 6 percent over the next four to six years (IMF, 2023). Similarly, reducing trade and external finance restrictions, liberalizing financial and product markets, and reforming labor policies will help create a more open economic environment that will help build a dynamic and competitive economy. Economic diversification, another essential step, is seen when countries invest in manufacturing, agriculture or services, creating new prospects for the future. This regional strength helps enhancing the long-term economic prospects through establishing sustainable industries and green technologies. For example, Kazakhstan is investing in green hydrogen projects, which could leverage renewable energy to support future growth (Reuters, 2024).

Central Asia's economic future turns on regional cooperation. Second, trade between the region has more than doubled since 2016 as well, an encouraging sign of deeper economic integration trend (Geopolitical Monitor, 2024). This advancement must persist, where by countries in the region must collaborate to create trade, logistics and industrial clusters nearby areas along the border. They help to promote reciprocal trade, attract joint investment, and strengthen local connectivity and by creating a more networked and efficient system (Astana Times, 2024). Infrastructure development is another key element of promoting regional integration. Projects have been funded by China, including the modernization of the Pamir Highway in Tajikistan, which improves the region's transport networks and trade routes (The Times, 2024). Such initiatives, however, go beyond upgrading physical infrastructure; they mark a larger commitment to collaborative economic development and deeper regional cooperation.

Whether economically, politically or otherwise, engaging with a diverse range of international partners is important for the region's prosperity. The Central Asian countries have been deepening ties with global economic actors in order to expand opportunities to use trade and investment. For example, Germany is seeking to strengthen its energy partnership with Kazakhstan and Uzbekistan, noting the latter's potential to become a pivotal energy supplier to European markets (Reuters, 2024). These types of foreign partnerships provide access to

new markets, transfer technology, and encourage foreign investment, which can all be a source of economic modernization. Admittedly, enhanced global partnerships pose the challenge of becoming too dependent on any power or grouping of powers. Diversifying such partnerships allows these countries to maintain their independence and resilience in the face of shaky global economic trends. U.S. Department of State strategy for Central Asia understands that achieving a stable, secure and prosperous Central Asia that pursues the region's national interests independently and effectively is paramount (U.S. Department of State, 2019).

Capitalism in Central Asia will find its future only through a mixture of necessary reform, economic diversification, and balanced diplomacy. "For these countries, this is the path to be coming rich again, with investments in sustainable industries, strengthening regional connectivity, and forging robust, yet diverse, global relations. Central Asia stands the opportunity to attain economic stability in the long run provided the right policies are in place and the commitment to modernization persists, allowing it to retain its strategic independence on the world stage.

Conclusion

The development of capitalism in Central Asia has been a complex journey shaped by history, resource-driven economies, and shifting global influences. From the ancient Silk Road trade routes to the centrally planned Soviet era and the market transitions that followed independence, these nations have had to continuously pivot to economic shifts. Economic reforms have been introduced including capitalism but, despite the transition to capitalism, many Central Asian states continue to "maintain a high level of state control over key sectors, particularly energy, natural resources, and infrastructure. State capitalism is prevalent, where the state retains ownership or influence over major industries while simultaneously embracing market forces. This model has been most evident in Kazakhstan, Uzbekistan, and Turkmenistan, where governments still play a dominant role in the economy" (Spechler, 2017). "Kazakhstan has embraced a form of state capitalism with state-owned companies dominating sectors like oil, gas, and mining, while also fostering a class of private entrepreneurs who are often closely connected to the government. Turkmenistan, on the other hand, has retained a more centralized economic system, with significant control over the natural gas industry" (Spechler, 2017). In countries like Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, oligarchs, who often have strong political ties, dominate many industries. These oligarchs emerged as a result of privatization during the early

1990s and control large sectors of the economy, including natural resources, banking, and construction. The presence of oligarchs has led to criticisms of crony capitalism, where business success is often tied to political connections rather than market competition” (Spechler, 2017). Today, these nations find themselves faced with both opportunities and challenges in their pursuit of sustainable growth, economic diversification, and regional cooperation. Sustainable economic recovery lies in the hands of Central Asian states through structural reforms, sustainable development of key sectors, improved governance and regional cooperation. Stronger regional links and balanced international partnerships will assist them in pursuing integration into the world economy while avoiding excessive dependency on any one power. By emphasising innovation, economic diversification and inclusive development will enhance the future-readiness and competitiveness of their economies. Nevertheless, long-term prosperity can only be achieved through a gradual and thorough approach that balances the use of natural resources, human capital and geopolitical space, as well as economic risks of trends and external pressures. Indeed, if these efforts are pursued successfully, the prospects for capitalism in Central Asia are promising: sustained growth, newfound stability, and increased economic independence in an increasingly connected world.

References

- Ahrens, J., Hoen, H. W., & Spechler, M. C. (2016). State capitalism in Eurasia: A dual-economy approach to Central Asia. In M. Brusis, J. Ahrens, & M. S. Wessel (Eds.), *Politics and legitimacy in post-Soviet Eurasia*, Palgrave Macmillan.
- Anceschi, L., & Oliphant, C. (2023). Rentier capitalism and global economic imaginaries in Central Asia. *Globalizations*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14747731.2023.2234173>
- Astana Times. (2024, November 18). Central Asian nations see strong economic progress, expanding trade potential. <https://astanatimes.com/2024/11/central-asian-nations-see-strong-economic-progress-expanding-trade-potential/>
- Atabaki, T. (2003). *Central Asia: Political and Economic Challenges in the Post-Soviet Era*. Edited by Alexei Vassiliev. London: Saqi Books, 2001. 277 pages, index. (Cloth) ISBN 0-8635-6913-7. *Middle East Studies Association Bulletin*, 37(1), 158–160.
- Batsaikhan, U., Dabrowski, M., Dabrowski, M., & Dabrowski, M. (2017). Central Asia — twenty-five years after the breakup of the USSR ☆. *Russian Journal of Economics*.
- East Asia Forum. (2023, December 27). Central Asia’s gradual economic repositioning. <https://eastasiaforum.org/2023/12/27/central-asias-gradual-economic-repositioning/>
- EBRD. (2024, September). EBRD forecasts robust economic growth in Central Asia. <https://www.ebrd.com/news/2024/ebrd-forecasts-robust-economic-growth-in-central-asia.html>
- Friedman, M. (1962). *Capitalism and freedom*. University of Chicago Press.
- Financial Times. (2024, October 23). Russia’s fears over ex-Soviet nations laid bare in leaked paper. <https://www.ft.com/content/2bb87769-805a-4270-bab2-2382e0b84cec>

- Fredona, R., Reinert, S. A., & da Silva Lopes, T. (2024). Forms of Capitalism. *Business History Review*, 98(1), 3–35. doi:10.1017/S000768052400031X
- Frankopan, P. (2015). *The Silk Roads: A New History of the World*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- FM Global. (2024). 2024 FM Global Resilience Index.
- Galbraith, J. K. (1958). *The affluent society*. Houghton Mifflin.
- Ganguli, Bodhisatva. (Feb 11, 2025). A hedge fund owner founded DeepSeek, showcasing the potential of capitalism. <https://www.moneycontrol.com/news/opinion/a-hedge-fund-owner-founded-deepseek-showcasing-the-potential-of-capitalism-12936326.html>
- Geopolitical Monitor. (2024, March 15). Central Asia: The Once and Future Heart of Eurasia. <https://www.geopoliticalmonitor.com/central-asia-the-once-and-future-heart-of-Eurasia/>
- Hayek, F. A. (1944). *The road to serfdom*. University of Chicago Press.
- HKTDC Research. (2023, December). Central Asia: Market profile. <https://research.hktdc.com/en/article/MTg3NDk4Mzg1OA>
- International Monetary Fund. (2023, July 10). How to Accelerate Economic Growth in the Caucasus and Central Asia. <https://www.imf.org/en/News/Articles/2023/07/10/cf-how-to-accelerate-economic-growth-in-the-caucasus-and-central-asia>.
- Harvey, D. (2005). *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford University Press.
- Kalinovsky, A. M. (2018). *Laboratory of Socialist Development: Cold War Politics and Decolonization in Soviet Tajikistan*. Cornell University Press.
- Marx, K. (1867). *Das Kapital*. Verlag von Otto Meissner.
- Mill, J. S. (1848). *Principles of political economy*. John W. Parker.
- Mazorenko, Dmitry. (19th August 2021). Kazakhstan Faces a Hopeless Social Crisis. <https://www.rosalux.de/en/news/id/52901/kazakhstan-faces-a-hopeless-social-crisis>
- Olimat, M. (2015). *China and Central Asia in the Post-Soviet Era: A Bilateral Approach*. Lexington Books.
- Ozcan, Gui Berna. (30th March 2021). Chinese Business in Central Asia: How Crony Capitalism is Eroding Justice. *Central Asia Papers*. Eurasia Papers. Foreign Policy Research Institute. <https://www.fpri.org/article/2021/03/chinese-business-in-central-asia-how-crony-capitalism-is-eroding-justice/>
- Patnaik, Prabhat. (15th Feb 2025). Capitalism: Treating Migrants Like Caged Animals. <https://www.newsclick.in/capitalism-treating-migrants-caged-animals>
- Pomfret, R. (2006). *The Central Asian Economies Since Independence*. Princeton University Press.
- Pomfret, R. (2010). Designer Capitalism in Central Asia. In *Central Asia: Aspects of Transition* (pp. 73-90). Palgrave Macmillan. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230278059_5
- Piketty, T. (2014). *Capital in the twenty-first century*. Harvard University Press.
- RAND Corporation. (2023, September). A case for greater U.S. engagement in Central Asia. <https://www.rand.org/pubs/commentary/2023/09/>
- Rawal, Sugandha. (Feb 14, 2025). Hansal Mehta says Elon Musk has made US President Donald Trump a ‘puppet of capitalism’: Democracy be damned. <https://www.hindustantimes.com/entertainment/bollywood/hansal-mehta-says-elon-musk-has-made-us-president-donald-trump-a-puppet-of-capitalism-democracy-be-damned-101739523716907.html>
- Reuters. (2024, September 13). Metals-rich Kazakhstan seeks niche in battery supply chain. <https://www.reuters.com/markets/commodities/metals-rich-kazakhstan-seeks-niche-battery-supply-chain-2024-09-13/>

- Reuters. (2024, September 13). Germany's Scholz seeks Central Asian energy ties in shadow of Ukraine war. <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/germanys-scholz-seeks-central-asian-energy-ties-shadow-ukraine-war-2024-09-13/>
- Ricardo, D. (1817). *On the principles of political economy and taxation*. John Murray.
- Sanghera, B., & Satybaldieva, E. (2023). Rentier capitalism and global economic imaginaries in Central Asia. *Globalizations*, 1–21.
- Seifert, A. C. (2012). The political process in Central Asia and the system question. In IFSH (Ed.), *OSCE Yearbook 2011* (pp. 181–198). Baden-Baden: Nomos.
- Sharipova, D., & Kudebayeva, A. (2023). Changing well-being in Central Asia: Evidence from Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 24(3), 1233–1260.
- Smith, A. (1776). *An inquiry into the nature and causes of the wealth of nations*. W. Strahan and T. Cadell.
- Spechler, M. C., Ahrens, J., Hoen, H. W. (2017). *State Capitalism In Eurasia*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Company.
- Stiglitz, J. (2002). *Globalization and its discontents*. W.W. Norton & Company.
- The Astana Times. (2024, August 15). The Renaissance of Central Asia: Towards Sustainable Development and Prosperity. <https://astanatimes.com/2024/08/the-renaissance-of-central-asia-towards-sustainable-development-and-prosperity/>
- The Times. (2024, October 15). China's 'electric Silk Road' tests local loyalties to Moscow. <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/chinas-electric-silk-road-tests-local-loyalties-to-moscow-vxqb3zv2d>
- Timurov, Aziz. (31.01.2022). Did Tajikistan Manage to Build a Market Economy?. <https://cabar.asia/en/did-tajikistan-manage-to-build-a-market-economy-2>
- U.S. Department of State. (2019). *United States Strategy for Central Asia 2019-2025: Advancing Sovereignty and Economic Prosperity*. <https://www.state.gov/united-states-strategy-for-central-asia-2019-2025-advancing-sovereignty-and-economic-prosperity/>
- Veblen, T. (1899). *The theory of the leisure class*. Macmillan.
- World Bank. (1993). *Kazakhstan: The transition to a market economy*. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents>
- World Bank. (2006). *Beyond Transition*. <https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/112221468239976623/pdf/>
- World Economic Forum. (2023, September). Sustainability and upskilling are driving Central Asia's future workforce. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2023/09/>

International Advisory Board

Prof. Nilofer Khan

Vice Chancellor
University of Kashmir
Srinagar, J&K, India

Prof. Shariefuddin Pirzada

Dean Academic Affairs
University of Kashmir
Srinagar, J&K, India

Prof. Gregory Gleason

Department of Political Science
University of New Mexico
USA

Prof. Valeria Piacentini

Universita Cattolica del Sacro Cuore /
Catholic University of the Sacred Heart
Milano, Italy

Prof. Valdimir Boyko

Centre for Regional Studies
Russia & Central Asia
Barnaul State Pedagogical University
Barnaul, Russia

Prof. Valeiry Khan

Institute of History
Academy of Sciences Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Prof. Bolat Tatibekov

Dept. of Employment & Labour Market
Institute of Economics
Ministry of Education and Science
Almaty, Kazakhstan

Prof. Sattar Mazhitov

Ch. Ch. Valikhanov Institute of
History and Ethnography
Almaty, Kazakhstan

Prof. Reuel Hanks

Department of Geography
Oklahoma University, USA

Prof. Ilhan Sahin

Institute of History & Turkic Civilization
Kyrgyzstan -Turkey Manas University
Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan